

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,341	07/25/2006	Willem Auke Westerhof	NL040084US1	6613
999559998 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS PO BOX 3001			EXAMINER	
			DEXTER, CLARK F	
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510-8001		001	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/587,341 WESTERHOF ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Clark F. Dexter 3724 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 June 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 25 July 2006 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) T Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/587,341

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment filed on June 20, 2008 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being
indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which
applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 4, line 2, "the pivotal axis" lacks positive antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions

Application/Control Number: 10/587,341

Art Unit: 3724

covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

 Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Story, pn 1,010,704.

Story discloses a razor with every structural limitation of the claimed invention including guiding members (e.g., the upper portion of 14 and 17 as viewed in Fig. 3) and a blade (e.g., 15), a grip portion (e.g., 26) pivotal about a pivot axis (e.g., 22 as viewed in Fig. 3), wherein the location of the pivot axis is adjustable to different locations on the blade assembly and can be fixed in two or more locations or positions (e.g., by moving threaded member 13 with respect to 20 through varying degrees of tightness such as by rotating 13 with respect to 20 180 degrees in either direction; or by moving/adjusting the handle to adjust the pivot axis to different locations as shown in Fig. 2), and wherein the blade assembly can hinge around a pin (e.g., 13; or 27), which pin is attached to the grip portion (e.g., via 20, 22, 23; or directly), wherein the blade assembly is provided with means for engaging the pin (e.g., either the bottom surface of 12, or 21; or 23, 25), and wherein the means for engaging the pin comprises clamp means (e.g., 23, 25).

In the alternative, if it is argued that there is no disclosure of such a function, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to make the invention,

Application/Control Number: 10/587,341 Page 4

Art Unit: 3724

particularly the pertinent features thereof from a pliable material that would allow such action for various well known benefits including the availability of such material, the reduced cost of such material, and the likely reduced weight of such material.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 7 and 8 appear that they would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed June 20, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding applicant's arguments in the last paragraph on page 5 of the subject response, the Examiner respectfully disagrees with applicant's analysis.

First, it is emphasized that claim 1 has been amended to broaden the claim, wherein the "characterized" recitation which was part of and further limited the recitation "wherein the pivot axis is positioned parallel to said cutting edge" has been deleted and a separate/independent limitation has been added that requires the pivot axis to be adjustable to different locations which could also include locations where the pivot axis is not parallel to the recited cutting edge (e.g., as shown in Fig. 2 of Story).

Second, regarding applicant's arguments directed to the previous rejection, it is emphasized that the Examiner's position is not that Story discloses the same functions Application/Control Number: 10/587,341

Art Unit: 3724

or is used in the same manner as the present invention, but rather that Story discloses all of the structure of the claimed invention.

Therefore, for at least the reasons described above, it is respectfully submitted that the prior art rejection must be maintained.

Conclusion

 Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Clark F. Dexter whose telephone number is (571)272-4505. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays, Tuesdays, Thursdays and Fridays. Art Unit: 3724

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer D. Ashley can be reached on (571)272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Clark F. Dexter/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3724

cfd September 22, 2008